

Data-driven Prediction of Occupant Presence and Lighting Power: A Case Study for Small Commercial Buildings



Jing Wang¹, Wangda Zuo¹, Sen Huang², Draguna Vrabie²

¹Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, USA ²Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, USA

University of Colorado Boulder Sustainable Buildings and Societies Laboratory

9/22/2020



Acknowledgement



C3PO: Comprehensive Pliant Permissive Priority Optimization (10/18-9/20), Department of Energy, collaboration with PNNL and ORNL.



Framework to dynamically value and classify building loads

University of Colorado Boulder

Dr. Wangda Zuo Jing Wang

Our Team



Dr. Draguna Vrabie Dr. Sen Huang



Dr. Piljae Im Dr. Yeonjin Bae Dr. Jian Sun

Challenges

Uncertainty in building load prediction

- Occupant behavior stochasticity
- □ Static hourly schedules in building energy simulation tools
- □ Occupant sensor data often unavailable





How to predict building occupancy and power demand on a sub-hourly basis without occupant sensor data ?

Occupant Behavior Modeling



Methodology

□ Correlation between occupant presence and light switching

| Finding | Reference |
|--|-----------|
| Switching mainly takes place when entering or vacating a space. | Hunt 1979 |
| The switch-on probability on arrival exhibits a strong correlation with minimum daylighting illuminance in the working area. | Hunt 1979 |
| The manual switch-off probability of the lights strongly relates to the expected length of absence. | Pigg 1998 |

□ Extracting presence information from lighting power data



Methodology – Occupant Presence

□ Lighting power shapes and interpreted occupant presence



Methodology – Logistic Regression

Logistic regression model

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_m x_m)}}$$

➢ p − probability of occupant present or extra lights on;

➤ x – independent variables (e.g. time of day for occupant presence)



- Why logistic regression?
 - \succ It is a linear classifier and is easy to train
 - ➢ It can reach the same level of accuracy as non-linear classifiers;
 - \succ It is easy to implement in Modelica.

Figure source: https://www.saedsayad.com/logistic_regression.htm

Methodology – Lighting Power

Training data

> Data of summer 2018, June, July for training, August for testing

| $Accuracy = \frac{NO.0J \ correctly \ classified \ points}{No.of \ total \ data \ points}$ | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| | Predicted No | Predicted Yes |
| Actual No | 3693 | 44 |
| Actual Yes | 31 | 624 |

No of compating descripted water

□ Multi-stage lighting power description

$$P(t) = a_0(t)P_{base} + a_1(t)P_{extr,1} + a_2(t)P_{extr,2} + \dots + a_{n-1}(t)P_{extr,n-1}$$

- \succ P total lighting power;
- \succ n number of stages.

Methodology – Logistic Regression

Extra lighting model in the bakery



□ The status of the extra lighting has a correlation with day of week

- □ The total frequency of extra lights on in 2018 is only 8.8%
- To deal with the imbalance in the training dataset, we adopted the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE)

Methodology – Logistic Regression

Regression results (Bakery)



| | | Accuracy |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Ice Cream Shop | Arrival | 0.98 |
| | Departure | 0.97 |
| Bakery | Arrival | 0.94 |
| | Departure | 0.88 |
| | Extra | 0.84 |

Methodology – Implementation in Modelica

□ Modelica model for Bakery



- Stochastic simulation model
- Every two minutes, a binary
 variable generator randomly
 generates a binary number.
 - The probability of this number being 1 equals the probability at that time of day based on the logistic regression model.

Methodology – Implementation in Modelica

☐ Monthly predicted and actual lighting power (Bakery)



Evaluation Metrics

- Root mean Squared Error (RMSE)
- Coefficient of Variation of RMSE (CVRMSE)
- Relative Error (RE) of Peak Power
- Normalized Mean Biased Error (NMBE)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{f,i} - x_{o,i})^2}{N}}$$
$$CVRMSE = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{f,i} - x_{o,i})^2}}{\frac{\overline{x_o}}{RE} = \frac{|x_{f,i} - x_{o,i}|}{x_{o,i}}}$$
$$NMBE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{f,i} - x_{o,i})}{\frac{N \times \overline{x_o}}{N}}$$

Methodology – Implementation in Modelica

□ Monthly predicted and actual lighting power (Bakery)



- Noticed oscillations in predicted power profile.
- 9 times of predicted extra lights on in a month but only 4 times of actual extra lights on.

Results and discussions



Presence Prediction Performance

| | C2 | F1 | | |
|------------|----------|----------|--------------|--|
| | Presence | Presence | Extra Lights | |
| RMSE | 0.108 | 0.101 | 0.153 | |
| CVRM SE | 20.9% | 25.0% | 125% | |

Probability of Extra Lights On

| | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Sim ulate d | 0 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| Actu al | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| | | | | | | | 1.6 |

Results and discussions

Peak lighting power prediction (avg. RE)

| | Monthly Peak Power | Weekly Peak Power | Daily Peak Power |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| C2 | 2.36% | 2.36% | 1.99% |
| F1 | 6.90% | 5.34% | 2.42% |

Lighting power prediction (avg. NMBE)

| | | Baseline | Model |
|-----------------|----|----------|-------|
| Monthly NMBE | C2 | 0.061% | 3.92% |
| | F1 | -0.55% | 8.28% |
| Weekly NMBE | C2 | 0.060% | 4.07% |
| | F1 | -0.68 | 7.92% |
| Daily NMBE | C2 | 0.057% | 4.03% |
| | F1 | 0.39% | 44.1% |

Discussions

- 1. Low accuracy for extra lights on prediction.
- 2. Simulated and actual probability of extra lights on deviate on Tuesday and Wednesday.
- 3. Lighting power two-stage prediction has larger errors. The errors stay below 6.9%.
- 4. Better prediction performance in longer prediction horizons.

Conclusion

- A method for occupant presence learning and reproducing based on lighting power data is proposed and validated.
- □ The proposed models can predict daily lighting peak power within 2.42% relative error.
- Stochastic models can be very accurate for longer-term predictions. However, they cannot predict uncommon events, and this leads to larger short-term prediction errors.
- □ Limitation: not having the ground truth data for occupant presence.
- □ Future work: cross validation of the occupant presence with other appliance usage data.



Thank You!

Jing Wang Jing.Wang@colorado.edu