Multi-market Optimization of a Data Center without Storage Systems For 2020 NA Modelica Conference

Yangyang Fu¹, Wangda Zuo^{1,2}, Kyri Baker^{1,2}

¹. University of Colorado Boulder ². National Renewable Energy Lab

09/07/2020



- Background
- Synergistic Frequency Regulation Control
- Model-based Optimal Control Framework
- Case Study
- Conclusions

Electric Market



Ancillary Service Markets



Energy Markets (Day-ahead and Real Time)

З



Buildings-to-Grid Services: Frequency Regulation

Regulation



Proposed FR Control Strategy



Baseline Routine: baseline power without providing FR

Reference Power Signal: calculate reference power signal to be tracked

Server Power Management: regulate aggregated server power

Cooling Power Management: regulate cooling system power

5

FR Control: Reference Power Signal



Reference Power Signal

- (1) determine the regulation capacity offer
- (2) calculate reference power signal

Reference Power

$$\Delta P_{reg,raw}(t) = r(t)C_{reg}$$
$$P_{ref}(t) = P_{bas}(t) + \Delta P_{reg,raw}(t)$$

r: normalized FR signal from PJM market **C**_{reg}: regulation capacity offer by data center P_{bas} : baseline power without providing FR $\Delta P_{reg,raw}$: regulated power data center should provide P_{ref} : reference power signal data center should follow

FR Control: Server Power Management



- based on predicted workload
- (2) regulate aggregator frequency every 4 seconds based on FR signals from the electrical market

Number of active servers:

7

FR Control: Server Power Management **Aggregated frequency regulation**



Server Power Management

- determine the number of active servers every hour based on predicted workload
- (2) regulate aggregator frequency every 4 seconds based on FR signals from the electrical market

- (I) A PID controller is used to track reference power
- (2) Service average response time determines the minimum aggregated frequency
 - **PID control**

$$\bar{f}(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int_0^t e(x) dx + K_d \frac{\mathrm{d}e(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}, f(t) \in (f_m + K_m + K_m$$

$$e(t) = P_{ref}(t) - P_{mea}(t)$$

fmin: service stability, response time

$$f_{min}(t) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{kN_a(t)\rho^*(t)}$$

fmax: nominal frequency, normalized as



FR Control: Cooling Power Management **Chilled Water Temperature Reset**



Thermal Dynamics

$$mC_{p}\frac{dT}{dt} = \dot{m}_{i}C_{p}T_{i} - \dot{m}_{o}C_{p}T + \dot{q}$$

$$\dot{m}_{i} = \dot{m}_{o} = \dot{m}$$

$$\tau = \frac{m}{\dot{m}}$$

Time Constant in Chiller Evaporator





Model-based Optimal Control Framework





(a) extra demand exposed to FR baseline



Constraints

(b) Normalized extra demand of 30 minutes during July, 2018 in PJM



$$\min J(C_{reg}) = E_{cos} + D_{pen} - R_{rev}$$
 Operation costs

$$E_{cos} = \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} p_{em}(t) P_{DC}(t) dt$$
 Energy costs

$$D_{pen} = p_{dm} \cdot \max((P_{dm} - P_{dm,lim}), 0)$$
 Demand penalty

$$R_{rev} = \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} p_{rm}(t)s(t)C_{reg}(t)dt \qquad \text{FR revenues}$$

$$0 \le C_{reg}(t) \le C_{reg,max}(t)$$

$$t_r(t) \le t_{r,u}$$

$$s(t) \ge s_l$$
FR capacity bids
Server service response
FR performance score

se time

Case Study



Modelica Model of Data Center Cooling and IT System

Model of Frequency Regulation Controller



Case Study: Simulation Results



- prices.
- The capacity bid is about 5 kW to 223 kW
- respectively. The savings mainly from providing FR service.



• The optimal FR capacity bid is a result of RegA/D signal profile, energy prices, and FR service

• The optimization framework can save 23.6 and 23.6 over two days in January and July,



(I) A synergistic control strategy is proposed to enable FR service in data centers without TESS

(2) Real-time optimal control framework enables data centers to minimize operational costs from energy market and regulation market.